## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

James M. Schreder

Serial No.:

10/729,774

For:

INTERACTIVE INSTRUCTIONS IN SEQUENTIAL CONTROL MODULES IN CONTROLLERS

December 8, 2003 Filed:

Examiner:

Norton, Jennifer L.

Art Unit:

2121

Confirmation No.:

3420

Customer No.:

00128

Attorney Docket No.: 120 05866US

**Mail Stop Amendment COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS** P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

## **RESPONSE TRANSMITTAL**

We are enclosing a Response to the communication dated October 18, 2006 in the above-identified application.

|        | Petition for extension of time pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.136 and 1.137 is hereby    |
|--------|--|
| made   | if, and to the extent, required. The fee for this extension of time is calculated to |
| be \$_ | to extend the time for filing this response until                                    |

The fee for any change in number of claims has been calculated as shown below.

|                       | <del>-</del>                              | Ci          | LAIMS AS              | AMENDE       | D                |            |                        |
|-----------------------|---|-------------|-----------------------|--------------|------------------|------------|------------------------|
|                       | Claims<br>Remaining<br>After<br>Amendment |             | High<br>Num<br>Previo | ber<br>ously | Present<br>Extra | Rate       |                        |
| Total<br>Claims       | 14  | Minus       | 20                    | )            | 0                | x \$50.00  | \$50.00                |
| Independent<br>Claims | 4   | Minus       | 3                     |              | 0                | x \$200.00 | \$                     |
| MULT                  | IPLE DEPENDEN                             | T CLAIM FEE |                       |              |                  |            | <b>c</b> \$360.00 = \$ |
| TOTA                  | L FEE FOR CLAI                            | M CHANGES   |                       |              |                  |            | \$                     |
| 1/2 FILING FEE        | FOR SMALL ENT                             | TTY         |                       |              |                  |            | \$N/A                  |

| C.F.R. §§1.16 and 1.17 which may                                     | authorized to charge any additional fees under 37 be required with this communication or during the   |
|--|---|
| C.F.R. §§1.16 and 1.17 which may entire pendency of the application, | be required with this communication or during the   |
| 01-0407. A duplicate copy of the f                                   | or credit any overpayment, to <b>Deposit Account No</b><br>Form is enclosed.  |
| January 18, 2007   | Perlam  |
| Date   | Paul D. Greeley   |
|  | Attorney for Applicant(s)   |
|  | Registration No. 31,019 Ohlandt, Greeley, Ruggiero & Perle, L.L.P.  |
|  | One Landmark Square, 10 <sup>th</sup> Floor   |
|  | Stamford, CT 06901-2682   |
|  | Telephone: (203) 327-4500<br>Telefax: (203) 327-6401  |
|  | RTIFICATE OF MAILING  |
| POSTAL SERVICE AS FIRST CLASS AMENDMENT, COMMISSIONER FOR PA         | RRESPONDENCE IS BEING DEPOSITED WITH THE U<br>MAIL IN AN ENVELOPE ADDRESSED TO: MAIL STO<br>ATENTS, P.O. BOX 1450, ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450, O |
| <u>January 18, 2007</u> .  | $\sim$  |
|  |   |
| Hector Lopez   | 1/18/0<br>SIGNATURE DATE  |

.

•

•

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

James M. Schreder

Serial No.:

10/729,774

For:

INTERACTIVE INSTRUCTIONS IN SEQUENTIAL CONTROL

MODULES IN CONTROLLERS

Filed:

December 8, 2003

Examiner:

Norton, Jennifer L.

Art Unit:

2121

Confirmation No.:

3420

Customer No.:

00128

Attorney Docket No.:

120 05866US

## RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION

Mail Stop Amendment **Commissioner for Patents** P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This response is in reply to the non-final Office Action dated October 18, 2006. Claims 2-15 are pending in the application. Reconsideration of this application is respectfully requested.

The final Office Action rejects claims 2-7 and 9-15 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,881,115 to Lipner et al., hereafter Lipner. This rejection is traversed.

This rejection is respectfully traversed for the same reasons set forth in the Remarks of the Amendment filed on September 29, 2006, which Remarks are incorporated herein in their entirety.